arXiv:1409.4241v1 [math-ph] 15 Sep 2014

Poisson structures on almost complex Lie algebroids

Paul Popescu

Abstract

In this paper we extend the almost complex Poisson structures from almost complex manifolds to almost complex Lie algebroids. Examples of such structures are also given and the almost complex Poisson morphisms of almost complex Lie algebroids are studied.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 32Q60, 17B66, 53D17,
Key Words: almost complex structure, Lie algebroid, Poisson structure

1 Introduction and preliminaries

1.1 Introduction

The Poisson manifolds are the smooth manifolds equipped with a Poisson bracket on their ring of smooth functions. The importance of Poisson structures both in real and complex geometry is without question. Short time after their introduction in the real case [23, 45] the Poisson structures were studied in the context of some different categories in differential geometry as: (almost) complex analytic category [5, 9, 15, 17, 21, 22, 24, 33, 34, 37, 46], foliated category [4, 40, 41, 42] or Banach category [7, 16, 31, 32].

In [24] Lichnerowicz introduces the general concept of complex Poisson structure, as a 2–vector $\pi^{2,0}$ of bidegree $(2,0)$ on a complex manifold M such that

$$[\pi^{2,0},\pi^{2,0}]=0\,,\ [\pi^{2,0},\overline{\pi^{2,0}}]=0.$$

Related to this tensor, a bracket $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$ on the algebra of complex differentiable functions is defined, and when $\pi^{2,0}$ is holomorphic, this bracket can be reduced to the algebra of holomorphic functions on the complex manifold, see also [37]. These structures play an important role in mathematics and mathematical physics, as well as the holomorphic Poisson structures, for example, in the study of complex Hamiltonian systems, see for instance [3, 37]. The local structure of holomorphic Poisson manifolds was given in [37], just in the real case [45]. Other generalizations as almost complex Poisson structures and ∂-symplectic and ∂-Poisson structures was studied in [9] and [33], respectively, and also certain significant studies concerning holomorphic Poisson manifolds in relation with corresponding notions from the real case are given in some recent papers [21, 38].

The Lie algebroids [25, 26] are generalizations of Lie algebras and integrable distributions. For some algebraic extensions see, for example, [2]. In fact a Lie algebroid is an anchored vector bundle with a Lie bracket on module of sections. The cotangent bundle of a Poisson manifold has a natural structure of a Lie algebroid and between Poisson structures and Lie algebroids are many other connections, as for instance for every Lie algebroid structure on an anchored vector bundle there is a specific linear Poisson structure on the corresponding dual vector bundle and conversely, see [43, 44].

The almost complex Lie algebroids over almost complex manifolds were introduced in [6] as a natural extension of the notion of an almost complex manifold to that of an almost complex Lie algebroid. This generalizes the definition of an almost complex Poisson manifold given in [9], where some examples are also given. In [18] some problems concerning to geometry of almost complex Lie algebroids over smooth manifolds are studied in relation with corresponding notions from the geometry of almost complex manifolds.

Also, we notice that the Poisson structures on real Lie algebroids are introduced and studied, see for instance [1, 10, 11, 12, 20, 30, 35].

The aim of this paper is to continue the study of Poisson structures, giving a natural generalization of almost complex Poisson structures from almost complex manifolds [9] to almost complex Lie algebroids.

The paper is organized as follows. In the preliminary section, we briefly recall some basic facts about Lie algebroids as well as the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket and Poisson structures on Lie algebroids. In the second section we briefly present the almost complex Lie algebroids over smooth manifolds and also recall the Newlander-Nirenberg theorem and almost complex morphisms for almost complex Lie algebroids. Next we introduce the notion of almost complex Poisson structures on almost complex Lie algebroids and we describe some examples of such structures. Also, the complex Lichnerowicz-Poisson cohomology of almost complex Poisson Lie algebroids is introduced. We consider morphisms of almost complex Lie algebroids preserving the almost complex Poisson structures that induce almost complex Poisson structures on Lie subalgebroids. Also, we characterize the morphisms of almost complex Poisson Lie algebroids in terms of its Graph which is a J_E–coisotropic Lie subalgebroid of the associated almost complex Poisson Lie algebroid given by direct product structure.

Compatibility conditions between complex structures and Poisson structures arise naturally in the real form of holomorphic Poisson manifolds and Lie algebroids; see , for example, [21]. These compatibility conditions are particular cases of Poisson-Nijenhuis structures as studied, for example in [12, 20]. In the last section we give examples of integrable almost complex structures and almost complex Poisson structures that are *not compatible* and that arise naturally on Lie algebroids on each sphere S^{2n-1}, $n \geq 1$. These examples motivate the study of almost complex Poisson Lie algebroids studied in this paper, where the almost complex and the Poisson structures are not submitted to compatibility conditions.

The main methods used here are similarly and closely related to those used in [9] for the case of almost complex Poisson manifolds.

1.2 Preliminaries on Lie algebroids

Definition 1.1. We say that $p : E \to M$ is an *anchored* vector bundle if there exists a vector bundle morphism $\rho : E \to TM$. The morphism ρ will be called the anchor map.

Definition 1.2. Let (E, p, M) and (E', p', M') be two anchored vector bundles over the same base M with the anchors $\rho : E \to TM$ and $\rho' : E' \to TM$. A morphism of anchored vector bundles over M or a *M–morphism of anchored vector bundles* between (E, ρ) and (E', ρ') is a morphism of vector bundles $\varphi : (E, p, M) \to (E', p', M)$ such that $\rho' \circ \varphi = \rho$.

The anchored vector bundles over the same base M form a category. The objects are the pairs (E, ρ_E) with ρ_E the anchor of E and a morphism $\phi : (E, \rho_E) \to (F, \rho_F)$ is a vector bundle morphism $\phi : E \to F$ which verifies the condition $\rho_F \circ \phi = \rho_E$.

Let $p : E \to M$ be an anchored vector bundle with the anchor $\rho : E \to TM$ and the induced morphism $\rho_E : \Gamma(E) \to \mathcal{X}(M)$. Assume there is a bracket $[\cdot,\cdot]_E$ on $\Gamma(E)$ that provides a structure of real Lie algebra on $\Gamma(E)$.

Definition 1.3. The triple $(E, \rho_E, [\cdot,\cdot]_E)$ is called a *Lie algebroid* if

i) $\rho_E : (\Gamma(E, [\cdot,\cdot]_E) \to (\mathcal{X}(M), [\cdot,\cdot])$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism, i.e.

$$\rho_E([s_1, s_2]_E) = [\rho_E(s_1), \rho_E(s_2)];$$

ii) $[s_1, fs_2]_E = f[s_1, s_2]_E + \rho_E(s_1)(f)s_2$,

for every $s_1, s_2 \in \Gamma(E)$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$.

A Lie algebroid $(E, \rho_E, [\cdot,\cdot]_E)$ is said to be *transitive*, if ρ_E is surjective.

There exists a canonical cohomology theory associated to a Lie algebroid $(E, \rho_E, [\cdot,\cdot]_E)$ over a smooth manifold M. The space $C^\infty(M)$ is a $\Gamma(E)$-module relative to the representation

$$\Gamma(E) \times C^\infty(M) \to C^\infty(M),\ (s, f) \mapsto \rho_E(s)f.$$

Following the well-known Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology theory [8], we can introduce a cohomology complex associated to the Lie algebroid as follows. A p-linear mapping

$$\omega : \Gamma(E) \times \ldots \times \Gamma(E) \to C^\infty(M)$$

is called a $C^\infty(M)$-valued p-cochain. Let $\mathcal{C}^p(E)$ denote the vector space of these cochains.

The operator $d_E : \mathcal{C}^p(E) \to \mathcal{C}^{p+1}(E)$ given by

$$(d_E\omega)(s_0, \ldots, s_p) = \sum_{i=0}^{p} (-1)^i \rho_E(s_i)(\omega(s_0, \ldots, \widehat{s_i}, \ldots, s_p)) \tag{1.1}$$

$$+ \sum_{i<j=1}^{p} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([s_i, s_j]_E, s_0, \ldots, \widehat{s_i}, \ldots, \widehat{s_j}, \ldots, s_p),$$

for $\omega \in \mathcal{C}^p(E)$ and $s_0, \ldots, s_p \in \Gamma(E)$, defines a coboundary since $d_E \circ d_E = 0$. Hence, $(\mathcal{C}^p(E), d_E)$, $p \geq 1$ is a differential complex and the corresponding cohomology spaces are called the cohomology groups of $\Gamma(E)$ with coefficients in $C^\infty(M)$.

Lemma 1.1. *If $\omega \in \mathcal{C}^p(E)$ is skew-symmetric and $C^\infty(M)$-linear, then $d_E\omega$ also is skew-symmetric.*

From now on, the subspace of skew-symmetric and $C^\infty(M)$-linear cochains of the space $\mathcal{C}^p(E)$ will be denoted by $\Omega^p(E)$ and its elements will be called *p–forms* on E (or p–section forms on E).

As in [11], the *Lie algebroid cohomology* $H^p(E)$ of $(E, \rho_E, [\cdot,\cdot]_E)$ is the cohomology of the subcomplex $(\Omega^p(E), d_E)$, $p \geq 1$. It is a natural generalization of the Lie algebra case, as studied in [8].

Definition 1.4. Let $(E, \rho_E, [\cdot,\cdot]_E)$ and $(E', \rho_{E'}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{E'})$ be two Lie algebroids over M. A *morphism of Lie algebroids* over M, is a morphism $\varphi : (E, \rho_E) \to (E', \rho_{E'})$ of anchored vector bundles with property that:

$$d_E \circ \varphi^* = \varphi^* \circ d_{E'}, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\varphi^* : \Omega^p(E') \to \Omega^p(E)$ is defined by

$$(\varphi^*\omega')(s_1, \ldots, s_p) = \omega'(\varphi(s_1), \ldots, \varphi(s_p)),\ \omega' \in \Omega^p(E'),\ s_1, \ldots, s_p \in \Gamma(E).$$

We also say that φ is a *M–morphism of Lie algebroids*.

Remark 1.1. Alternatively, we say that $\varphi : (E, \rho_E) \to (E', \rho_{E'})$ is an *M–morphism of Lie algebroids* if

$$\varphi\left([s_1, s_2]_E\right) = \left[\varphi(s_1), \varphi(s_2)\right]_{E'}\ ,\ \forall\, s_1, s_2 \in \Gamma(E). \tag{1.3}$$

The Lie algebroids over the same manifold M and all M–morphisms of Lie algebroids form a category, which (via a forgetful structure) is a subcategory of the category of anchored vector bundles over M.

Let $(E, \rho_E, [\cdot, \cdot]_E)$ be a Lie algebroid over M. If we consider (x^i), $i = 1, \ldots, n$ a local coordinates system on M and $\{e_a\}$, $a = 1, \ldots, m$ a local basis of sections on the bundle E, where $\dim M = n$ and $\operatorname{rank} E = m$, then (x^i, y^a), $i = 1, \ldots, n$, $a = 1, \ldots, m$ are local coordinates on E. Also, for an element $y \in E$ such that $p(y) = x \in U \subset M$, we have $y = y^a e_a(p(y))$.

In a such local coordinates system, the anchor ρ_E and the Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_E$ are expressed by the smooth functions ρ_a^i and C_{bc}^a, namely

$$\rho_E(e_a) = \rho_a^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \text{ and } [e_a, e_b]_E = C_{ab}^c e_c\ ,\ i = 1, \ldots, n,\ a, b, c = 1, \ldots, m. \tag{1.4}$$

The functions $\rho_a^i\ ,\ C_{bc}^a \in C^\infty(M)$ given by the above relations are called the *structure functions* of Lie algebroid $(E, \rho_E, [\cdot, \cdot]_E)$ in the given local coordinates system.

If $(E, \rho_E, [\cdot, \cdot]_E)$ is a Lie algebroid over M, (x^i), $i = 1, \ldots, n$ is a local coordinate system on M and $\{e_a\}$, $a = 1, \ldots, m$ is a local basis of sections on E, then the structure functions $\rho_a^i\ ,\ C_{bc}^a \in C^\infty(M)$ of the Lie algebroid E verify the following relations:

$$\rho_a^j \frac{\partial \rho_b^i}{\partial x^j} - \rho_b^j \frac{\partial \rho_a^i}{\partial x^j} = \rho_c^i C_{ab}^c\ ,\ C_{ab}^c = -C_{ba}^c\ ,\ \sum_{cycl(a,b,c)} \left(\rho_a^i \frac{\partial C_{bc}^d}{\partial x^i} + C_{ab}^e C_{ce}^d \right) = 0. \tag{1.5}$$

The equations (1.5) are called the *structure equations* of Lie algebroid $(E, \rho_E, [\cdot, \cdot]_E)$.

1.3 Poisson structures on Lie algebroids

As in the classical settings from the geometry of Poisson manifolds, [39], the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket on a Lie algebroid $(E, \rho_E, [\cdot, \cdot]_E)$ is a $\mathbb{R}$–linear map $[\cdot, \cdot]_E : \mathcal{V}^\bullet(E) \to \mathcal{V}^{\bullet-1}(E)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} [s_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge s_p, t_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge t_q]_E \ &= \ (-1)^{p+1} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{j=1}^{q} (-1)^{i+j} [s_i, t_j]_E \wedge s_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{s_i} \wedge \ldots \wedge s_p \\ &\quad \wedge t_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{t_j} \wedge \ldots \wedge t_q, \end{aligned}$$

for every $s_i, t_j \in \Gamma(E)$, [20], where $\mathcal{V}^p(E) = \Gamma(\bigwedge^p E)$ is the set of p–sections of E.

Let us consider the bisection (i.e. contravariant, skew-symmetric, 2–section) $\pi_E \in \Gamma(\bigwedge^2 E)$ locally given by

$$\pi_E = \frac{1}{2} \pi^{ab}(x) e_a \wedge e_b. \tag{1.6}$$

Definition 1.5. [20] The bisection $\pi_E \in \Gamma(\bigwedge^2 E)$ is called a *Poisson bisection* on the Lie algebroid $(E, \rho_E, [\cdot,\cdot]_E)$ if $[\pi_E, \pi_E]_E = 0$.

If (x^i), $i = 1, \ldots, n$ is a local coordinate system on M and $\{e_a\}$, $a = 1, \ldots, m$ is a local basis of sections on E, then the condition $[\pi_E, \pi_E]_E = 0$ is locally expressed as

$$\sum_{(a,e,d)} \left(\pi^{ab} \rho_b^i \frac{\partial \pi^{ed}}{\partial x^i} + \pi^{ab} \pi^{cd} C_{bc}^e \right) = 0. \tag{1.7}$$

If π_E is a Poisson bisection on the Lie algebroid $(E, \rho_E, [\cdot,\cdot]_E)$, then the pair (E, π_E) is called a *Poisson Lie algebroid*. A corresponding Poisson bracket on M is given by

$$\{f, g\} = \pi_E \left(d_E f, d_E g \right) , \ f, g \in C^\infty(M). \tag{1.8}$$

Also, we have the map $\pi_E^\# : \Omega^1(E) \to \Gamma(E)$ defined by

$$\pi_E^\# \omega = \imath_\omega \pi_E , \ \omega \in \Omega^1(E). \tag{1.9}$$

Let us consider now the bracket

$$[\omega, \theta]_{\pi_E} = \mathcal{L}_{\pi_E^\# \omega} \theta - \mathcal{L}_{\pi_E^\# \theta} \omega - d_E(\pi_E(\omega, \theta)), \tag{1.10}$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ denotes the Lie derivative in the Lie algebroids calculus framework, [27], and $\omega, \theta \in \Omega^1(E)$. With respect to this bracket and the usual Lie bracket on vector fields, the map $\widetilde{\rho}_E : \Gamma(E^*) \to \mathcal{X}(M)$ defined by

$$\widetilde{\rho}_E = \rho_E \circ \pi_E^\#, \tag{1.11}$$

is a Lie algebra homomorphism, namely $\widetilde{\rho}_E \left([\omega, \theta]_{\pi_E} \right) = [\widetilde{\rho}_E(\omega), \widetilde{\rho}_E(\theta)]$. Also, the bracket $[\cdot,\cdot]_{\pi_E}$ satisfies the Leibniz rule

$$[\omega, f\theta]_{\pi_E} = f[\omega, \theta]_{\pi_E} + \widetilde{\rho}_E(\omega)(f)\theta,$$

and it results that $(E^*, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\pi_E}, \widetilde{\rho}_E)$ is a Lie algebroid, [20].

Moreover, we can define the Lichnerowicz type differential $d_{\pi_E} : \Omega^p(E) \to \Omega^{p+1}(E)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\pi_E}\omega(s_1, \ldots, s_{p+1}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{i+1} \widetilde{\rho}_E(s_i) \left(\omega(s_1, \ldots, \widehat{s_i}, \ldots, s_{p+1}) \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 \le i < j \le p+1} (-1)^{i+j} \omega \left([s_i, s_j]_{\pi_E}, s_1, \ldots, \widehat{s_i}, \ldots, \widehat{s_j}, \ldots, s_{p+1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We have $d_{\pi_E} \circ d_{\pi_E} = 0$ and, hence we get the cohomology of Lie algebroid $(E^*, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\pi_E}, \widetilde{\rho}_E)$ which generalize the Lichnerowicz-Poisson cohomology for Poisson manifolds [23, 39].

2 Poisson structures on almost complex Lie algebroids

In the first subsection of this section we briefly present some elementary notions about almost complex Lie algebroids over smooth manifolds. In the second subsection we introduce the notion of almost complex Poisson structure on almost complex Lie algebroids and we describe some examples of such structures. Also, the complex Lichnerowicz-Poisson cohomology of almost complex

Poisson Lie algebroids is introduced. In the last subsection we consider the morphisms between almost complex Lie algebroids preserving the almost complex Poisson structures and induce almost complex Poisson structures on Lie subalgebroids. Also, we characterize the morphisms of almost complex Poisson Lie algebroids in terms of its Graph which is a J_E–coisotropic Lie subalgebroid of the associated almost complex Poisson Lie algebroid given by direct product structure.

2.1 Almost complex Lie algebroids

In [6] is given the definition of an almost complex Lie algebroid over an almost complex manifold, which generalizes the definition of an almost complex Poisson manifold given in [9], as follows.

Consider a $2n$–dimensional almost complex manifold M, with an almost complex structure $J_M : \Gamma(TM) \to \Gamma(TM)$ and $(E, \rho_E, [\cdot,\cdot]_E)$ be a Lie algebroid over M with $\operatorname{rank} E = 2m$. According to [6], an *almost complex structure* J_E on $(E, \rho_E, [\cdot,\cdot]_E)$ is an endomorphism $J_E : \Gamma(E) \to \Gamma(E)$ such that $J_E^2 = -\mathrm{id}_{\Gamma(E)}$ and $J_M \circ \rho_E = \rho_E \circ J_E$. However, for our purpose we do not need the relation $J_M \circ \rho_E = \rho_E \circ J_E$ and so we will consider the almost complex Lie algebroids over smooth manifolds M.

Definition 2.1. A real Lie algebroid $(E, \rho_E, [\cdot,\cdot]_E)$ over a smooth manifolds M endowed with an almost complex endomorphism (i.e. an endomorphism J_E of E such that $J_E^2 = -\mathrm{id}_{\Gamma(E)}$) will be called an *almost complex Lie algebroid*.

The standard examples of almost complex Lie algebroids are given by the tangent bundle of an almost complex manifold (M, J), the cotangent bundle of an almost complex Poisson manifold $(M, J, \pi^{2,0})$, the tangent bundle of a complex foliation, the prolongation of a Lie algebroid over its vector bundle projection, see [18].

By complexification of the real vector bundle E we obtain the complex vector bundle $E_{\mathbb{C}} := E \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \to M$ and by extending the anchor map and the Lie bracket $\mathbb{C}$–linearly, we obtain a complex Lie algebroid $(E_{\mathbb{C}}, [\cdot,\cdot]_E, \rho_E)$ with the anchor map $\rho_E : \Gamma(E_{\mathbb{C}}) \to \Gamma(TM_{\mathbb{C}})$, that is, a homomorphism of the complexified of corresponding Lie algebras, and $[s_1, fs_2]_E = f[s_1, s_2]_E + \rho_E(s_1)(f)s_2$, for every $s_1, s_2 \in \Gamma(E_{\mathbb{C}})$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)_{\mathbb{C}} = C^\infty(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$. Also, extending $\mathbb{C}$–linearly the almost complex structure J_E, we obtain the almost complex structure J_E on $E_{\mathbb{C}}$.

As usual, we have a splitting

$$E_{\mathbb{C}} = E^{1,0} \oplus E^{0,1}$$

according to the eigenvalues $\pm i$ of J_E on $E_{\mathbb{C}}$. We also have

$$\Gamma(E^{1,0}) = \{s - iJ_E s \,|\, s \in \Gamma(E)\}\,,\ \Gamma(E^{0,1}) = \{s + iJ_E s \,|\, s \in \Gamma(E)\}. \tag{2.1}$$

Similarly, we have the splitting

$$E^*_{\mathbb{C}} := E^* \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = (E^{1,0})^* \oplus (E^{0,1})^*$$

according to the eigenvalues $\pm i$ of J_E^* on $E^*_{\mathbb{C}}$, where J_E^* is the natural almost complex structure induced on E^*. We also have

$$\Gamma((E^{1,0})^*) = \{\omega - iJ_E^*\omega \,|\, \omega \in \Gamma(E^*)\}\,,\ \Gamma((E^{0,1})^*) = \{\omega + iJ_E^*\omega \,|\, \omega \in \Gamma(E^*)\}. \tag{2.2}$$

We set

$$\bigwedge^{p,q}(E) = \bigwedge^{p}(E^{1,0})^* \wedge \bigwedge^{q}(E^{0,1})^* \text{ and } \Omega^{p,q}(E) = \Gamma\left(\bigwedge^{p,q}(E)\right).$$

Then, the differential d_E of the complex $\Omega^\bullet(E)=\bigoplus_{p,q}\Omega^{p,q}(E)$ splits into the sum

$$d_E=\partial'_E+\partial_E+\overline{\partial}_E+\partial''_E,$$

where

$$\partial'_E:\Omega^{p,q}(E)\to\Omega^{p+2,q-1}(E)\,,\ \partial_E:\Omega^{p,q}(E)\to\Omega^{p+1,q}(E),$$
$$\overline{\partial}_E:\Omega^{p,q}(E)\to\Omega^{p,q+1}(E)\,,\ \partial''_E:\Omega^{p,q}(E)\to\Omega^{p-1,q+2}(E).$$

For an almost complex Lie algebroid $(E,\rho_E,[\cdot,\cdot]_E,J_E)$ we can consider the Nijenhuis tensor of J_E defined by

$$N_{J_E}(s_1,s_2)=[J_Es_1,J_Es_2]_E-J_E[s_1,J_Es_2]_E-J_E[J_Es_1,s_2]_E-[s_1,s_2]_E\,,\ \forall\, s_1,s_2\in\Gamma(E).\quad(2.3)$$

Definition 2.2. The almost complex structure J_E of almost complex Lie algebroid $(E,\rho_E,[\cdot,\cdot]_E,J_E)$ is called *integrable* if $N_{J_E}=0$.

Also, using a standard procedure from almost complex geometry, [13, 47], we have proved the following Newlander-Nirenberg type theorem:

Theorem 2.1. *[18] For an almost complex Lie algebroid $(E,\rho_E,[\cdot,\cdot]_E,J_E)$ over a smooth manifold M the following assertions are equivalent:*

(i) If $s_1,s_2\in\Gamma(E^{1,0})$ then $[s_1,s_2]_E\in\Gamma(E^{1,0})$;

(ii) If $s_1,s_2\in\Gamma(E^{0,1})$ then $[s_1,s_2]_E\in\Gamma(E^{0,1})$;

(iii) $d_E\Omega^{1,0}(E)\subset\Omega^{2,0}(E)+\Omega^{1,1}(E)$ and $d_E\Omega^{0,1}(E)\subset\Omega^{1,1}(E)\oplus\Omega^{0,2}(E)$;

(iv) $d_E\Omega^{p,q}(E)\subset\Omega^{p+1,q}(E)+\Omega^{p,q+1}(E)$;

(v) the real Nijenhuis N_{J_E} from (2.3) vanish, namely J_E is integrable.

The above Newlander-Nirenberg type theorem says that for any integrable almost complex structure J_E on an almost complex Lie algebroid $(E,\rho_E,[\cdot,\cdot]_E)$ we have the usual decomposition

$$d_E=\partial_E+\overline{\partial}_E.$$

From $d_E^2=d_E\circ d_E=0$ we obtain the following identities:

$$\partial_E^2=\overline{\partial}_E^2=\partial_E\overline{\partial}_E+\overline{\partial}_E\partial_E=0.\quad(2.4)$$

Hence, in this case we have a Dolbeault type Lie algebroid cohomology as the cohomology of the complex $(\Omega^{p,\bullet}(E),\overline{\partial}_E)$.

We notice that in more situations in this paper we will consider the case when J_E is integrable.

Let us consider now $(E,\rho_E,[\cdot,\cdot]_E,J_E)$ and $(E',\rho_{E'},[\cdot,\cdot]_{E'},J_{E'})$ be two almost complex Lie algebroids over a smooth manifold M.

A M–morphism φ of almost complex Lie algebroids is naturally extended by $\mathbb{C}$–linearity to $\varphi:(E_{\mathbb{C}},\rho_E,J_E)\to(E'_{\mathbb{C}},\rho_{E'},J_{E'})$ over M and it is called *almost complex* if

$$\varphi\circ J_E=J_{E'}\circ\varphi.\quad(2.5)$$

Proposition 2.1. *[18] If $\varphi : (E, \rho_E, J_E) \to (E', \rho_{E'}, J_{E'})$ is a M–morphism of almost complex Lie algebroids over M, then the following assertions are equivalent:*

(i) If $s_1 \in \Gamma(E^{1,0})$ then $\varphi(s_1) \in \Gamma(E'^{1,0})$;

(ii) If $s_1 \in \Gamma(E^{0,1})$ then $\varphi(s_1) \in \Gamma(E'^{0,1})$;

(iii) If $\omega' \in \Omega^{p,q}(E')$ then $\varphi^*\omega' \in \Omega^{p,q}(E)$, where

$$(\varphi^*\omega')(s_1, \ldots, s_p, t_1, \ldots, t_q) = \omega'(\varphi(s_1), \ldots, \varphi(s_p), \varphi(t_1), \ldots, \varphi(t_q))$$

for any $s_1, \ldots, s_p \in \Gamma(E^{1,0})$ and $t_1, \ldots, t_q \in \Gamma(E^{0,1})$.

(iv) The morphism φ is almost complex.

2.2 Almost complex Poisson Lie algebroids

Let $(E, \rho_E, [\cdot, \cdot]_E, J_E)$ be an almost complex Lie algebroid over a smooth manifold M. Then the complexification $\mathcal{V}^\bullet_{\mathbb{C}}(E) = \mathcal{V}^\bullet(E) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ of the Grassmann algebra of multisections of E, admits the decomposition $\mathcal{V}^{p+q}_{\mathbb{C}}(E) = \bigoplus_{p,q} \mathcal{V}^{p,q}(E)$, where we have put $\mathcal{V}^{p,q}(E) = \Gamma\left(\bigwedge^p E^{1,0} \wedge \bigwedge^q E^{0,1}\right)$.

As in the usual case of almost complex Poisson manifolds, [9], with respect to the graduation above, we have the following decomposition of the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket on E:

$$[S, T]_E \in \mathcal{V}^{p+r-2,q+s+1}(E) \oplus \mathcal{V}^{p+r-1,q+s}(E) \oplus \mathcal{V}^{p+r,q+s-1}(E) \oplus \mathcal{V}^{p+r+1,q+s-2}(E), \tag{2.6}$$

for every $S \in \mathcal{V}^{p,q}(E)$ and $T \in \mathcal{V}^{r,s}(E)$. In particular, the bracket of two sections of type $(1,0)$ may not be of type $(1,0)$.

If the almost complex structure J_E is integrable then the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket on E has the property

$$[S, T]_E \in \mathcal{V}^{p+r-1,q+s}(E) \oplus \mathcal{V}^{p+r,q+s-1}(E) \tag{2.7}$$

for every $S \in \mathcal{V}^{p,q}(E)$ and $T \in \mathcal{V}^{r,s}(E)$. In particular, the bracket of two sections of type $(1,0)$ is again of type $(1,0)$.

Definition 2.3. Let $(E, \rho_E, [\cdot, \cdot]_E, J_E)$ be an almost complex Lie algebroid. Then a $(2,0)$–section $\pi_E^{2,0} \in \mathcal{V}^{2,0}(E)$ is called an *almost complex Poisson structure* if

$$\left[\pi_E^{2,0}, \pi_E^{2,0}\right]_E = 0 \text{ and } \left[\pi_E^{2,0}, \overline{\pi_E^{2,0}}\right]_E = 0. \tag{2.8}$$

Associated to an almost complex Poisson structure on the almost complex Lie algebroid $(E, \rho_E, [\cdot, \cdot]_E, J_E)$ there is a bracket on the algebra of complex functions $C^\infty(M)_{\mathbb{C}} = C^\infty(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$,

$$\{\cdot, \cdot\} : C^\infty(M)_{\mathbb{C}} \times C^\infty(M)_{\mathbb{C}} \to C^\infty(M)_{\mathbb{C}}$$

given by $\{f, g\} = \imath_{\pi_E^{2,0}}(d_E f \wedge d_E g)$; and it satisfies the skew-symmetry condition, the Leibniz rule and the Jacobi identity (which in fact is equivalent to the first relation from (2.8)).

Also, from (2.6), we easily obtain

Proposition 2.2. *If $\pi_E^{2,0}$ defines an almost complex Poisson structure on an almost complex Lie algebroid $(E, \rho_E, [\cdot, \cdot]_E, J_E)$, then $\pi_E = \pi_E^{2,0} + \overline{\pi_E^{2,0}}$ and $\pi'_E = i\left(\pi_E^{2,0} - \overline{\pi_E^{2,0}}\right)$ are real Poisson structures on E; the converse also holds.*

Remark 2.1. The converse in the above proposition is not true if only one of the tensors π_E or π'_E is real Poisson, unless J_E is integrable.

Example 2.1. (∂_E–holomorphic symplectic structures). According to [19] a symplectic structure on a Lie algebroid $(E, \rho_E, [\cdot,\cdot]_E)$ is defined by a non-degenerated d_E–closed 2–form $\omega \in \Omega^2(E)$. For instance it can be induced by a Kählerian structure g on an almost complex Lie algebroid $(E, \rho_E, [\cdot,\cdot]_E, J_E)$, see [18]. As similar to [33, 34], when J_E is integrable, we can consider the notion of a ∂_E–symplectic structure on an almost complex Lie algebroid $(E, \rho_E, [\cdot,\cdot]_E, J_E)$ as a non-degenerated ∂_E–closed $(2,0)$–form $\omega^{2,0} \in \Omega^{2,0}(E)$. As it happens in the case of real symplectic structures on Lie algebroids, there exists the isomorphism $\mu : \Gamma(E^{1,0}) \to \Omega^{1,0}(E)$, defined by $\mu(s) = \imath_s\omega^{2,0}$. Extending μ to a mapping on the associated Grassmann algebras, we consider the $(2,0)$-section $\pi_E^{2,0} = -\mu^{-1}(\omega^{2,0}) \in \mathcal{V}^{2,0}(E)$. Equivalently, $\pi_E^{2,0}$ is defined by $\pi_E^{2,0}(\partial_E f, \partial_E g) = -\omega^{2,0}(s_f, s_g)$, where s_f is the Hamiltonian section of $f \in C^\infty(M)_{\mathbb{C}}$ defined as the unique section of type $(1,0)$ on E such that $\imath_{s_f}\omega^{2,0} = \partial_E f$. In order to prove that $\pi_E^{2,0}$ defines an almost complex Poisson structure on the almost complex Lie algebroid $(E, \rho_E, [\cdot,\cdot]_E, J_E)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \imath_{[s_f,s_g]_E}\omega^{2,0} &= \mathcal{L}_{s_f}\imath_{s_g}\omega^{2,0} - \imath_{s_g}\mathcal{L}_{s_f}\omega^{2,0} \\ &= \imath_{s_f}d_E(\partial_E g) + d_E\imath_{s_f}\imath_{s_g}\omega^{2,0} - \imath_{s_g}d_E(\partial_E f), \end{aligned}$$

and comparing the bigrade of both terms of above equality, we have

(i) $[s_f, s_g]_E = s_{\{f,g\}}$;

(ii) $\imath_{s_f}\overline{\partial}_E\partial_E g - \imath_{s_g}\overline{\partial}_E\partial_E f - \overline{\partial}_E\{f,g\} = 0$.

Now, as a consequence of (i) and that $\omega^{2,0}$ is ∂_E–closed , we obtain the Jacobi identity, hence $\left[\pi_E^{2,0}, \pi_E^{2,0}\right]_E = 0$. If in addition, we require that the base manifold M to be complex and $\omega^{2,0}$ to be a holomorphic 2–form on E (that is a $(2,0)$–form on E with coefficients holomorphic functions on M), then $\pi_E^{2,0}$ is a holomorphic 2–section (or equivalently $\overline{\pi_E^{2,0}}$ is anti-holomorphic 2–section) and then, the condition $\left[\pi_E^{2,0}, \overline{\pi_E^{2,0}}\right]_E = 0$ is trivially satisfied, and so $\pi_E^{2,0}$ defines an almost complex Poisson structure on E.

Remark 2.2. If we consider in above example the particular case of the almost complex Lie algebroid $(T\mathcal{F}, i_{\mathcal{F}}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathcal{F}}, J_{\mathcal{F}})$ associated to a complex foliation $\mathcal{F}$, see [18], then similarly to Proposition 6.1 from [9], we obtain an almost complex Poisson structure $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}^{2,0}$ on $T\mathcal{F}$ from a foliated complex symplectic 2–form $\omega_{\mathcal{F}}$, that is, $\omega_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a closed foliated $\mathcal{F}$–holomorphic $(2,0)$–form.

Example 2.2. (Complete lift to the prolongation of a Lie algebroid). For a Lie algebroid $(E, \rho_E, [\cdot,\cdot]_E)$ with $\operatorname{rank} E = m$ we can consider the prolongation of E over its vector bundle projection, see [14, 29, 36], which is a vector bundle $p_L : \mathcal{L}^p(E) \to E$ of $\operatorname{rank}\mathcal{L}^p(E) = 2m$ which has a Lie algebroid structure over E. More exactly, $\mathcal{L}^p(E)$ is the subset of $E \times TE$ defined by $\mathcal{L}^p(E) = \{(u,z) \,|\, \rho_E(u) = p_*(z)\}$, where $p_* : TE \to TM$ is the canonical projection. The projection on the second factor $\rho_{\mathcal{L}^p(E)} : \mathcal{L}^p(E) \to TE$, given by $\rho_{\mathcal{L}^p(E)}(u,z) = z$ will be the anchor of the prolongation Lie algebroid $\left(\mathcal{L}^p(E), \rho_{\mathcal{L}^p(E)}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathcal{L}^p(E)}\right)$ over E. According to [29, 36], we can consider the *vertical lift* s^v and the *complete lift* s^c of a section $s \in \Gamma(E)$ as sections of $\mathcal{L}^p(E)$ as follows. The local basis of $\Gamma(\mathcal{L}^p(E))$ is given by $\left\{\mathcal{X}_a(u) = \left(e_a(p(u)), \rho_a^i\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}|_u\right), \mathcal{V}_a = \left(0, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a}\right)\right\}$,

where $\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a}\right\}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n = \dim M$, $a = 1 \ldots, m = \operatorname{rank} E$, is the local basis on TE. Then, the vertical and complete lifts, respectively, of a section $s = s^a e_a \in \Gamma(E)$ are given by

$$s^v = s^a \mathcal{V}_a \, , \; s^c = s^a \mathcal{X}_a + \left(\rho_E(e_c)(s^a) - C^a_{bc} s^b\right) y^c \mathcal{V}_a .$$

In particular, $e^v_a = \mathcal{V}_a$ and $e^c_a = \mathcal{X}_a - C^b_{ac} y^c \mathcal{V}_b$.

Now, if $\operatorname{rank} E = 2m$ and J_E is an almost complex structure on the Lie algebroid $(E, \rho_E, [\cdot, \cdot]_E)$, then J^c_E is an almost complex structure on $\left(\mathcal{L}^p(E), \rho_{\mathcal{L}^p(E)}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathcal{L}^p(E)}\right)$ (because one of the properties of the complete lift is: for $p(T)$ a polynomial, then $p(T^c) = p(T)^c$). In fact, if J_E is expressed locally by $J_E = J^a_b e_a \otimes e^b$, then J^c_E is locally given by

$$J^c_E = \left(\rho_E(e_c)(J^a_b) - C^a_{db} J^d_c\right) y^c \mathcal{V}_a \otimes \mathcal{X}^b + J^a_b \mathcal{X}_a \otimes \mathcal{X}^b + J^a_b \mathcal{V}_a \otimes \mathcal{V}^b,$$

where $\{\mathcal{X}^a, \mathcal{V}^b\}$ is the dual basis of $\{\mathcal{X}_a, \mathcal{V}_b\}$.

Moreover, $J^c_E s^v = (J_E s)^v$ and $J^c_E s^c = (J_E s)^c$, so we have that if $s \in \Gamma(E^{1,0})$ (or $s \in \Gamma(E^{0,1})$), then $s^v, s^c \in \Gamma(\mathcal{L}^p(E)^{1,0})$ (or $s^v, s^c \in \Gamma(\mathcal{L}^p(E)^{0,1})$). Taking into account now that

$$(S \wedge T)^v = S^v \wedge T^v \text{ and } (S \wedge T)^c = S^c \wedge T^v + S^v \wedge T^c, \tag{2.9}$$

for $S, T \in \mathcal{V}^\bullet(E)$, it follows that the bigraduation with respect to the complex structures J_E and J^c_E is preserved when considering the vertical and complete lifts of multisections, that is, if $S \in \mathcal{V}^{p,q}(E)$, then $S^v, S^c \in \mathcal{V}^{p,q}(\mathcal{L}^p(E))$. Furthermore, since $N_{J^c_E} = (N_{J_E})^c$, then J^c_E is integrable if and only if J_E is integrable.

Now, from (2.9) and

$$[s^v_1, s^v_2]_{\mathcal{L}^p(E)} = 0 \, , \; [s^v_1, s^c_2]_{\mathcal{L}^p(E)} = [s_1, s_2]^v_E \, , \; [s^c_1, s^c_2]_{\mathcal{L}^p(E)} = [s_1, s_2]^c_E,$$

see [28], it follows that for the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket we have

$$[S, T]^c_E = [S^c, T^c]_{\mathcal{L}^p(E)},$$

for $S, T \in \mathcal{V}^\bullet(E)$. Hence, if $\left(J_E, \pi^{2,0}_E\right)$ is an almost complex Poisson structure on E, then $\left(J^c_E, (\pi^{2,0}_E)^c\right)$ is an almost complex Poisson structure on $\mathcal{L}^p(E)$.

Example 2.3. Let us consider again the prolongation Lie algebroid $\left(\mathcal{L}^p(E), \rho_{\mathcal{L}^p(E)}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathcal{L}^p(E)}\right)$ over E from above example, and let ∇ a linear connection on the Lie algebroid E (in particular a Riemannian Lie algebroid (E, g) and the Levi-Civita connection). Then, the connection ∇ leads to a natural decomposition of $\mathcal{L}^p(E)$ into vertical and horizontal subbundles

$$\mathcal{L}^p(E) = H\mathcal{L}^p(E) \oplus V\mathcal{L}^p(E),$$

where $V\mathcal{L}^p(E) = \operatorname{span}\{\mathcal{V}_a\}$ and $H\mathcal{L}^p(E) = \operatorname{span}\{\mathcal{H}_a = \mathcal{X}_a - \Gamma^b_{ac} y^c \mathcal{V}_b\}$, where $\Gamma^b_{ac}(x)$ are the local coefficients of the linear connection ∇. We notice that, the above decomposition can be obtained also by a nonlinear (Ehresmann) connection.

The horizontal lift s^h of a section $s = s^a e_a \in \Gamma(E)$ to $\mathcal{L}^p(E)$ is locally given by

$$s^h = s^a \mathcal{H}_a = s^a (\mathcal{X}_a - \Gamma^b_{ac} y^c \mathcal{V}_b).$$

Then, there exists an almost complex structure $J^1_{\mathcal{L}^p(E)}$ on $\mathcal{L}^p(E)$, induced by the connection ∇, given by

$$J^1_{\mathcal{L}^p(E)}(s^h) = s^v \, , \; J^1_{\mathcal{L}^p(E)}(s^v) = -s^h.$$

A simple example of almost complex Poisson structure on $\mathcal{L}^p(E)$ with respect to the almost complex structure $J^1_{\mathcal{L}^p(E)}$ can be constructed as follows: Let $s_1, s_2 \in \Gamma(E)$, then $s_1^h - is_1^v$ and $s_2^h - is_2^v$ are complex sections of type $(1,0)$ on $\mathcal{L}^p(E)$, and we consider

$$\begin{aligned}\pi^{2,0}_{\mathcal{L}^p(E)} &= (s_1^h - is_1^v) \wedge (s_2^h - is_2^v) \\ &= s_1^h \wedge s_2^h - i(s_1^h \wedge s_2^v + s_1^v \wedge s_2^h) - s_1^v \wedge s_2^v \\ &= s_1^h \wedge s_2^h - i(s_1 \wedge s_2)^h - (s_1 \wedge s_2)^v.\end{aligned}$$

Taking into account that

$$[s_1^h, s_2^h]_{\mathcal{L}^p(E)} = [s_1, s_2]_E^h + (R(s_1, s_2)u)^v \, , \, [s_1^h, s_2^v]_{\mathcal{L}^p(E)} = (\nabla_{s_1} s_2)^v \, , \, [s_1^v, s_2^v]_{\mathcal{L}^p(E)} = 0,$$

it follows that $\pi^{2,0}_{\mathcal{L}^p(E)}$ is an almost complex Poisson structure on $\mathcal{L}^p(E)$ if $[s_1, s_2]_E = 0$, $\nabla_{s_1} s_2 = 0$, $R(s_1, s_2) = 0$ and $T(s_1, s_2) = 0$ (in particular, if ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of a Riemannian metric on E, then $T = 0$ always). Here, the curvature R satisfies $R = -N_h$, where N_h is the Nijenhuis tensor of the horizontal projection.

If, moreover, $\operatorname{rank} E = 2m$ and J_E is an almost complex structure on E, then we can consider its horizontal lift J_E^h on $\mathcal{L}^p(E)$, which is again an almost complex structure, such that $J_E^h(s^v) = (J_E s)^v$ and $J_E^h(s^h) = (J_E s)^h$. It is easy to see that the bigraduations with respect to J_E and J_E^h is preserved by vertical and horizontal lifts and hence, the determination of almost complex Poisson structures on $\mathcal{L}^p(E)$ with respect to the almost complex structure J_E^h can be of some interest.

Example 2.4. (Direct product structure). The direct product of two Lie algebroids $(E_1, \rho_{E_1}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{E_1})$ over M_1 and $(E_2, \rho_{E_2}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{E_2})$ over M_2 is defined in [26, pg. 155], as a Lie algebroid structure $E_1 \times E_2 \to M_1 \times M_2$. Let us briefly recall this construction. The general sections of $E_1 \times E_2$ are of the form $s = \sum(f_i \otimes s_i^1) \oplus \sum(g_j \otimes s_j^2)$, where $f_i, g_j \in C^\infty(M_1 \times M_2)$, $s_i^1 \in \Gamma(E_1)$, $s_j^2 \in \Gamma(E_2)$, and the anchor map is defined by

$$\rho_E\left(\sum(f_i \otimes s_i^1) \oplus \sum(g_j \otimes s_j^2)\right) = \sum(f_i \otimes \rho_{E_1}(s_i^1)) \oplus \sum(g_j \otimes \rho_{E_2}(s_j^2)).$$

Imposing the conditions

$$[1 \otimes s^1, 1 \otimes t^1]_E = 1 \otimes [s^1, t^1]_{E_1} \, , \, [1 \otimes s^1, 1 \otimes t^2]_E = 0,$$

$$[1 \otimes s^2, 1 \otimes t^2]_E = 1 \otimes [s^2, t^2]_{E_2} \, , \, [1 \otimes s^2, 1 \otimes t^1]_E = 0,$$

for every $s^1, t^1 \in \Gamma(E_1)$ and $s^2, t^2 \in \Gamma(E_2)$, it follows that for $s = \sum(f_i \otimes s_i^1) \oplus \sum(g_j \otimes s_j^2)$ and $s' = \sum(f'_k \otimes s'^1_k) \oplus \sum(g'_l \otimes s'^2_l)$, we have, using Leibniz condition, the following expression for bracket on $E = E_1 \times E_2$:

$$\begin{aligned}[s, s']_E &= \left(\sum f_i f'_k \otimes [s_i^1, s'^1_k]_{E_1} + \sum f_i \rho_{E_1}(s_i^1)(f'_k) \otimes s'^1_k - \sum f'_k \rho_{E_1}(s'^1_k)(f_i) \otimes s_i^1\right) \\ &\quad \oplus \left(\sum g_j g'_l \otimes [s_j^2, s'^2_l]_{E_2} + \sum g_j \rho_{E_2}(s_j^2)(g'_l) \otimes s'^2_l - \sum g'_l \rho_{E_2}(s'^2_l)(g_j) \otimes s_j^2\right).\end{aligned}$$

Now, we consider E_1 and E_2 endowed with almost complex Poisson structures $\left(J_{E_1}, \pi^{2,0}_{E_1}\right)$ and $\left(J_{E_2}, \pi^{2,0}_{E_2}\right)$, respectively. We define the almost complex structure J_E on $E = E_1 \times E_2$ by $J_E(s) = \sum(f_i \otimes J_{E_1}(s_i^1)) \oplus \sum(g_j \otimes J_{E_2}(s_j^2))$ and the 2–section $\pi^{2,0}_E = \pi^{2,0}_{E_1} + \pi^{2,0}_{E_2}$. Since $[s^1, s^2]_E = 0$ for every $s^1 \in \Gamma(E_1)$, $s^2 \in \Gamma(E_2)$, it follows that $\pi^{2,0}_E$ is an almost complex Poisson structure on the direct product $E_1 \times E_2$.

Let us consider now $\pi_E^{2,0}$ be an almost complex Poisson structure on the almost complex Lie algebroid $(E, \rho_E, [\cdot,\cdot]_E, J_E)$. It is well known that, associated to the real Poisson structure $\pi_E = \pi_E^{2,0} + \overline{\pi_E^{2,0}}$, there is a morphism

$$\pi_E^{\#} : \Omega^1(E) \to \mathcal{V}^1(E),$$

given by $\pi_E^{\#}(\varphi)(\psi) = \pi_E(\varphi, \psi)$ for $\varphi, \psi \in \Omega^1(E)$. Then, we can consider the complexified spaces and extend $\pi_E^{\#}$ to the complex Grassmann algebras as follows: let I_E^* be the adjoint of $I_E = \pi_E^{\#}$ (it is easy to see that $I_E^* = -I_E$), then we have

$$I_E : \Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^p(E) \to \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{C}}^p(E)\,,\; I_E(\varphi)(\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_p) = \varphi(I_E^*\varphi_1, \ldots, I_E^*\varphi_p),$$

for $\varphi \in \Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^p(E)$ and $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_p \in \Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^1(E)$.

For the $(2,0)$–section $\pi_E^{2,0}$ it can be defined similarly the morphisms

$$\left(\pi_E^{2,0}\right)^{\#} : \Omega^{1,0}(E) \to \mathcal{V}^{1,0}(E)\,,\; \left(\overline{\pi_E^{2,0}}\right)^{\#} : \Omega^{0,1}(E) \to \mathcal{V}^{0,1}(E).$$

Since $\pi_E^{\#}|_{\Omega^{1,0}(E)} = \left(\pi_E^{2,0}\right)^{\#}$, $\pi_E^{\#}|_{\Omega^{0,1}(E)} = \left(\overline{\pi_E^{2,0}}\right)^{\#}$ and I_E is a morphism of Grassmann algebras, then I_E preserves the bigraduation with respect to J_E.

Now, let $\pi_E^{2,0}$ be an almost complex Poisson structure on the almost complex Lie algebroid $(E, \rho_E, [\cdot,\cdot]_E, J_E)$ such that J_E is integrable. We define the following operators

(i) $\sigma_E : \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{C}}^p(E) \to \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p+1}(E)$, by $\sigma_E(S) = -\,[S, \pi_E]_E$, for $S \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{C}}^p(E)$;

(ii) $\sigma_E^1 : \mathcal{V}^{p,q}(E) \to \mathcal{V}^{p+1,q}(E) \oplus \mathcal{V}^{p+2,q-1}(E)$, by $\sigma_E^1(S) = -\left[S, \pi_E^{2,0}\right]_E$, for $S \in \mathcal{V}^{p,q}(E)$;

(ii) $\sigma_E^2 : \mathcal{V}^{p,q}(E) \to \mathcal{V}^{p-1,q+2}(E) \oplus \mathcal{V}^{p,q+1}(E)$, by $\sigma_E^2(S) = -\left[S, \overline{\pi_E^{2,0}}\right]_E$, for $S \in \mathcal{V}^{p,q}(E)$.

Clearly, $\overline{\sigma_E^1(S)} = \sigma_E^2(\overline{S})$, for $S \in \mathcal{V}^{p,q}(E)$. Also, in the same way we can define σ_E, σ_E^1 and σ_E^2 in the case when J_E is not integrable, but the image space has more components.

If J_E is integrable, as usual, we have $I_E(d_E\varphi) = -\sigma_E(I_E\varphi)$ and for $\varphi \in \Omega^{p,q}(E)$ it follows

(i) $I_E(d_E\varphi) = I_E(\partial_E\varphi) + I_E(\overline{\partial}_E\varphi) \in \mathcal{V}^{p+1,q}(E) \oplus \mathcal{V}^{p,q+1}(E)$;

(ii) $\sigma_E(I_E\varphi) = \sigma_E^1(I_E\varphi) + \sigma_E^2(I_E\varphi) \in \mathcal{V}^{p+1,q}(E) \oplus \mathcal{V}^{p+2,q-1}(E) \oplus \mathcal{V}^{p,q+1}(E) \oplus \mathcal{V}^{p-1,q+2}(E)$.

Corollary 2.1. *Let $\pi_E^{2,0}$ be an almost complex Poisson structure on $(E, \rho_E, [\cdot,\cdot]_E, J_E)$. Suppose that J_E is integrable. Then*

$$I_E(\partial_E\varphi) = -\sigma_E^1(I_E\varphi) \in \mathcal{V}^{p+1,q}(E)\,,\; I_E(\overline{\partial}_E\varphi) = -\sigma_E^2(I_E\varphi) \in \mathcal{V}^{p,q+1}(E),$$

for every $\varphi \in \Omega^{p,q}(E)$.

Proposition 2.3. *A nondegenerate almost complex Poisson structure $\pi_E^{2,0}$ on an almost complex Lie algebroid $(E, \rho_E, [\cdot,\cdot]_E, J_E)$ with J_E integrable defines a ∂_E–symplectic structure on E.*

Proof. If $\pi_E^{2,0}$ is a nondegenerate almost complex Poisson structure, then $\pi_E = \pi_E^{2,0} + \overline{\pi_E^{2,0}}$ is also nondegenerate and the morphism I_E is an isomorphism. Now, we consider $\omega^{2,0} = I_E^{-1}\left(\pi_E^{2,0}\right)$ and, then

$$I_E\left(\partial_E\omega^{2,0}\right) = -\sigma_E^1\left(I_E\omega^{2,0}\right) = -\sigma_E^1\left(\pi_E^{2,0}\right) = \left[\pi_E^{2,0}, \pi_E^{2,0}\right]_E = 0,$$

and, since I_E is an isomorphism, it follows that $\omega^{2,0}$ defines a ∂_E–symplectic structure on E. □

For a complex smooth function $f \in C^\infty(M)_{\mathbb{C}}$, there is an associated complex section of type $(1,0)$ of E (called the *Hamiltonian section* associated to f, see also Example 2.1) defined by

$$s_f = \imath_{\partial_E f}\pi_E^{2,0} = \pi_E^{2,0}(\partial_E f).$$

From the Jacobi identity of the bracket $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$ associated to $\pi_E^{2,0}$, we obtain $[s_f, s_g]_E = s_{\{f,g\}}$ (see also Example 2.1); hence, the space $\mathrm{Ham}_{\pi_E^{2,0}}$ of Hamiltonian $(1,0)$–sections on E is a subspace of $\mathcal{V}^{1,0}(E)$ with a Lie algebra structure respect to the Lie bracket of sections of E, which in the case when J_E is integrable is actually a Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{V}^{1,0}(E)$. Moreover, we have

Proposition 2.4. *Every Hamiltonian section of $\pi_E^{2,0}$ is an infinitesimal automorphism of $\pi_E^{2,0}$, that is, $\mathcal{L}_{s_f}\pi_E^{2,0} = 0$.*

Proposition 2.5. *If the almost complex Poisson structure $\pi_E^{2,0}$ on an almost complex Lie algebroid $(E, \rho_E, [\cdot,\cdot]_E, J_E)$ is nondegenerated, then J_E is integrable, and hence E admits a ∂_E–symplectic structure.*

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that the bracket of two sections of type $(1,0)$ is again of type $(1,0)$; and this follows because, by Proposition 2.3, the non-degeneracy condition implies that the mapping $\left(\pi_E^{2,0}\right)^\#$ is an isomorphism, so the space $\mathcal{V}^{1,0}(E)$ is (locally) spanned by the Hamiltonian sections, for which it is satisfied. □

If $\pi_E^{2,0}$ is an almost complex Poisson structure on an almost complex Lie algebroid $(E, \rho_E, [\cdot,\cdot]_E, J_E)$ and $\pi_E = \pi_E^{2,0} + \overline{\pi_E^{2,0}}$ is the associated real Poisson structure on E, then the distribution

$$\mathcal{D} = \cup_{x\in M}\mathcal{D}(x) \subset TM, \text{ where } \mathcal{D}(x) := \rho_E\left(\pi_E^\#(E_x^*)\right) \subset T_xM,\ x \in M$$

is a generalized foliation on M (in the sense of Sussmann).

As well as we seen previously, for an almost complex Poisson structure $\pi_E^{2,0}$ on the almost complex Lie algebroid $(E, \rho_E, [\cdot,\cdot]_E, J_E)$ such that J_E is integrable, we have defined the operator $\sigma_E^1 : \mathcal{V}^{p,q}(E) \to \mathcal{V}^{p+1,q}(E) \oplus \mathcal{V}^{p+2,q-1}(E)$, by $\sigma_E^1(S) = -\left[S, \pi_E^{2,0}\right]_E$, for $S \in \mathcal{V}^{p,q}(E)$. Using the properties of the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket on E, it is easy to prove that:

(i) $\sigma_E^1 \circ \sigma_E^1 = 0$,

(ii) $\sigma_E^1(S_1 \wedge S_2) = \sigma_E^1(S_1) \wedge S_2 + (-1)^{\deg(S_1)} S_1 \wedge \sigma_E^1(S_2)$,

(iii) $\sigma_E^1\left([S_1, S_2]_E\right) = -[\sigma_E^1(S_1), S_2]_E - (-1)^{\deg(S_1)}[S_1, \sigma_E^1(S_2)]_E$, for every $S_1, S_2 \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{C}}^\bullet(E)$, where $\deg(S)$ denotes the degree of the multisection S.

Then, if we consider the decomposition of σ_E^1 induced by the bigraduation,

$$\sigma_E^1 = \sigma_E^{11} + \sigma_E^{12},$$

and since $\sigma_E^1 \circ \sigma_E^1 = 0$, it follows that $\sigma_E^{11} \circ \sigma_E^{11} = \sigma_E^{12} \circ \sigma_E^{12} = 0$ and $\sigma_E^{11} \circ \sigma_E^{12} + \sigma_E^{12} \circ \sigma_E^{11} = 0$. So, we obtain a differential bigraded complex

$$\ldots \xrightarrow{\sigma_E^{11}} \mathcal{V}^{p-1,q}(E) \xrightarrow{\sigma_E^{11}} \mathcal{V}^{p,q}(E) \xrightarrow{\sigma_E^{11}} \mathcal{V}^{p+1,q}(E) \xrightarrow{\sigma_E^{11}} \ldots$$

whose cohomology groups, denoted by $H^{p,q}_{CLP}(E)$, will be called *complex Lichnerowicz-Poisson cohomology groups* of the almost complex Poisson Lie algebroid $\left(E, \rho_E, [\cdot,\cdot]_E, J_E, \pi_E^{2,0}\right)$ with J_E integrable.

2.3 Morphisms of almost complex Poisson Lie algebroids

In this subsection, we consider the morphisms between almost complex Lie algebroids preserving the almost complex Poisson structures.

Definition 2.4. Let $\left(E_1, \rho_{E_1}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{E_1}, J_{E_1}, \pi_{E_1}^{2,0}\right)$ and $\left(E_2, \rho_{E_2}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{E_2}, J_{E_2}, \pi_{E_2}^{2,0}\right)$ be two almost complex Poisson Lie algebroids over the same base manifold M. A Lie algebroid morphism $\phi : E_1 \to E_2$ is called an *almost complex Poisson morphism* if:

(i) ϕ is an almost complex morphism;

(ii) ϕ is a Poisson type morphism with respect to $\pi_{E_1}^{2,0}$ and $\pi_{E_2}^{2,0}$, that is, ϕ satisfies one of the following equivalent properties:

1) $\pi_{E_1}^{2,0}$ and $\pi_{E_2}^{2,0}$ are ϕ–related, that is

$$\pi_{E_1}^{2,0}(\phi^*(\varphi), \phi^*(\psi)) = \pi_{E_2}^{2,0}(\varphi, \psi),\ \forall\, \varphi, \psi \in \Omega^{1,0}(E_2);$$

2) $\left(\pi_{E_2}^{2,0}\right)^{\#} = \phi \circ \left(\pi_{E_1}^{2,0}\right)^{\#} \circ \phi^*$.

Remark 2.3. If $\phi : \left(E_1, J_{E_1}, \pi_{E_1}^{2,0}\right) \to \left(E_2, J_{E_2}, \pi_{E_2}^{2,0}\right)$ is an almost complex Poisson morphism then $\phi : \left(E_1, \pi_{E_1}^{2,0} + \overline{\pi_{E_1}^{2,0}}\right) \to \left(E_2, \pi_{E_2}^{2,0} + \overline{\pi_{E_2}^{2,0}}\right)$ is an almost Poisson morphism of real Poisson Lie algebroids.

Now, we can induce almost complex Poisson structures on Lie subalgebroids.

Let $(E, \rho_E, [\cdot,\cdot]_E)$ be a Lie algebroid over a smooth manifold M. According to [26], a *Lie subalgebroid* over M, is given by a vector subbundle $p' : E' \to M$ such that:

(i) The anchor $\rho_E : E \to TM$ restricts to $p' : E' \to M$;

(ii) If $s_1', s_2' \in \Gamma(E')$ then $[s_1', s_2']_E \in \Gamma(E')$ also.

Let $\phi : (E_1, \rho_{E_1}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{E_1}) \to (E_2, \rho_{E_2}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{E_2})$ be a M–morphism of Lie algebroids over M. Then, according to [19], if ϕ is injective then $(E_1, \rho_{E_1}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{E_1})$ is a *Lie subalgebroid* of $(E_2, \rho_{E_2}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{E_2})$ over the same base M.

Definition 2.5. A Lie subalgebroid $(\widetilde{E}, \phi)$ of an almost complex Poisson Lie algebroid $\left(E, J_E, \pi_E^{2,0}\right)$, is said to be an *almost complex Poisson Lie subalgebroid* of E if the injective morphism $\phi : \widetilde{E} \to E$ is an almost complex Poisson morphism.

Definition 2.6. A Lie subalgebroid $\widetilde{E}$ of an almost complex Poisson Lie algebroid $\left(E, J_E, \pi_E^{2,0}\right)$ is said to be:

(i) J_E–*coisotropic* if $J_E(\widetilde{E}) \subset \widetilde{E}$ and

$$\left(\pi_E^{2,0}\right)^{\#}\left(\operatorname{Ann}\widetilde{E}^{1,0}\right) \subset \widetilde{E}^{1,0}, \tag{2.10}$$

where $\operatorname{Ann}\widetilde{E}^{1,0} = \{\varphi \in (\widetilde{E}^{1,0})^* \,|\, \varphi(s) = 0\,,\, \forall\, s \in \widetilde{E}^{1,0}\}$.

(ii) J_E–*Lagrangian* if $J_E(\widetilde{E}) \subset \widetilde{E}$ and

$$\left(\pi_E^{2,0}\right)^{\#}\left(\operatorname{Ann}\widetilde{E}^{1,0}\right) = \widetilde{E}^{1,0} \cap \left(\pi_E^{2,0}\right)^{\#}\left((\widetilde{E}^{1,0})^*\right). \tag{2.11}$$

As usual, we have

Theorem 2.2. *A M–morphism $\phi : \left(E_1, \rho_{E_1}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{E_1}, J_{E_1}, \pi_{E_1}^{2,0}\right) \to \left(E_2, \rho_{E_2}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{E_2}, J_{E_2}, -\pi_{E_2}^{2,0}\right)$ between two almost complex Poisson Lie algebroids is an almost complex Poisson morphism if and only if* $\operatorname{Graph}\phi$ *is a J_E–coisotropic Lie subalgebroid of $E = E_1 \times E_2$, where J_E is the product structure given in Example 2.4.*

Proof. We consider the almost complex Poisson Lie algebroids $\left(E_1, \rho_{E_1}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{E_1}, J_{E_1}, \pi_{E_1}^{2,0}\right)$ and $\left(E_2, \rho_{E_2}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{E_2}, J_{E_2}, -\pi_{E_2}^{2,0}\right)$ and the product structure described in Example 2.4 ($E = E_1 \times E_2$, $J_E = J_{E_1} + J_{E_2}, \pi_E^{2,0} = \pi_{E_1}^{2,0} - \pi_{E_2}^{2,0}$).

Since $\operatorname{Graph}\phi = \{(s, \phi(s)) \,|\, s \in \Gamma(E_1)\}$ is a regular subalgebroid of $E = E_1 \times E_2$, it is J_E–invariant if and only if $(J_{E_1}(s), J_{E_2}(\phi(s))) \in \operatorname{Graph}\phi$, for any $s \in \Gamma(E_1)$, or equivalently, $(J_{E_2} \circ \phi)(s) = (\phi \circ J_{E_1})(s)$, which means that ϕ is an almost complex morphism of Lie algebroids.

Now, let us assume the previous statement, that is, J_E be an almost complex structure on $\operatorname{Graph}\phi$. Then,

$$\operatorname{Ann}(\operatorname{Graph}\phi)^{1,0} = \{(-\phi^*(\varphi), \varphi) \,|\, \varphi \in (E_2^{1,0})^*\},$$

and by a straightforward calculation we have that $\operatorname{Graph}\phi$ satisfies (2.10), and hence it is J_E–coisotropic, that is,

$$\left((\pi_{E_1}^{2,0})^{\#} - (\pi_{E_2}^{2,0})^{\#}\right)\left(\operatorname{Ann}(\operatorname{Graph}\phi)^{1,0}\right) \subset (\operatorname{Graph}\phi)^{1,0},$$

if and only if,

$$\left(\pi_{E_2}^{2,0}\right)^{\#}(\varphi) = \left(\phi \circ \pi_{E_1}^{2,0} \circ \phi^*\right)(\varphi)\,,\ \forall\, \varphi \in \Omega^{1,0}(E_2),$$

that is, ϕ is a Poisson type morphism. □

3 Some other examples

A *general bisection* on E is a $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$-valued 2-cochain F of E^*. It can be regarded as well as defined equivalently by:

– a vector bundle map $F^{\#} : E^* \to E$, or

– a section F of the vector bundle $E \otimes E$.

The formula (1.10) can be used to define a non-necessary skew-symmetric map $[\cdot,\cdot]_F : \Gamma(E^*) \times \Gamma(E^*) \to \Gamma(E^*)$,

$$[\omega,\theta]_F = \mathcal{L}_{F\#_\omega}\theta - \mathcal{L}_{F\#_\theta}\omega - d_E(F(\omega,\theta)). \tag{3.12}$$

Let G be an endomorphism of E, $G^* : E^* \to E^*$ be the dual endomorphism of G and F be a general bisection. Then consider the map $[\cdot,\cdot]_F : \Gamma(E^*) \times \Gamma(E^*) \to \Gamma(E^*)$,

$$[\omega,\theta]_F^G = [G^*\omega,\theta]_F + [\omega,G^*\theta]_F - G^*[\omega,\theta]_F, \tag{3.13}$$

for every $\omega,\theta \in \Gamma(E^*)$. If F,G,ω,θ are as above, denote $C(F,G)(\omega,\theta) = [\omega,\theta]_{FG} - [\omega,\theta]_F^{G^*}$, where FG is the general bisection that corresponds to $(FG)^\# = F \circ G^\#$. One say that F and G are *compatible* if $G \circ F^\# = F^\# \circ G^*$ and $C(F,G) = 0$.

If G has a null Nijenhuis tensor $N_G = 0$ and F is a Poisson tensor, then according to [12, 20, 21] (E,F,G) is a *Poisson-Nijenhuis structure* if F and G are compatible.

If we suppose now that J_E is integrable and π_E is an almost complex Poisson structure, then J_E and π_E are *compatible* if just (E,π_E,J_E) is a Poisson-Nijenhuis structure. The compatibility condition read

1) $J_E \circ \pi_E^\# = \pi_E^\# \circ J_E^*$;

2) $[\omega,\theta]_{\pi_E J} = [\omega,\theta]_{\pi_E}^{J^*}$; for every $\omega,\theta \in \Gamma(E^*)$.

We give below examples of integrable almost complex structures J_E and almost complex Poisson structures π_E that are *not compatible* and that arise naturally on each sphere S^{2n-1}, $n \geq 1$. These examples motivate the study of structures J_E and π_E that are not necessary compatible. Notice that the compatibility of J_E and π_E is not assumed in the results proved in our paper.

Let $n \geq 1$, $\bar{x} = (x^\alpha)_{\alpha=\overline{1,2n}} \in S^{2n-1} \subset I\!R^{2n}$, $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2n}(x^\alpha)^2 = 1$, and $\bar{X} = (X^\alpha)_{\alpha=\overline{1,2n}} \in T_{\bar{x}}S^{2n-1}$, thus $\bar{X}\cdot\bar{x} = 0$, i.e. $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2n} x^\alpha X^\alpha = 0$. We define the anchor $\rho : S^{2n-1} \times I\!R^{2n} = TI\!R^{2n}_{|S^{2n-1}} \to TS^{2n-1}$ as the orthogonal projection $\rho_{\bar{x}} : T_{\bar{x}}I\!R^{2n} \to T_{\bar{x}}S^{2n-1}$, $(\forall)\bar{x} \in S^{2n-1}$, $\rho_{\bar{x}}(\bar{X}) = \bar{X} - \left(\bar{X}\cdot\bar{x}\right)\bar{x}$. Consider, for example, local coordinates for $\bar{x} \in S^{2n-1}$ as

$$(x^\alpha)_{\alpha=\overline{1,2n}} \to \left(x^1,\ldots,x^{2n-1},t = \sqrt{1-(x^1)^2-\cdots-(x^{2n-1})^2}\right).$$

Using these local coordinates, $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} \to \bar{e}_\alpha - \dfrac{x^\alpha}{x^{2n}}\bar{e}_{2n}$. For $1 \leq \alpha \leq 2n$ we have $\rho_{\bar{x}}(\bar{e}_\alpha) = \dfrac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} - x^\alpha\bar{r}$ and $\rho_{\bar{x}}(\bar{e}_{2n}) = -x^{2n}\bar{r}$, where $\bar{r} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2n-1} x^\alpha \dfrac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}$.

For $1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq 2n-1$ we have $[\rho_{\bar{x}}(\bar{e}_\alpha),\rho_{\bar{x}}(\bar{e}_\beta)] = -x^\beta\dfrac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} + x^\alpha\dfrac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta}$, and $[\rho_{\bar{x}}(\bar{e}_\alpha),\rho_{\bar{x}}(\bar{e}_{2n})] = -t\dfrac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}$ for $\alpha = \overline{1,2n-1}$. It follows that $(\mathcal{L}_{\bar{e}_\alpha}\bar{e}_\beta)_{\bar{x}} = [\bar{e}_\alpha,\bar{e}_\beta]_{\bar{x}} = -x^\beta\bar{e}_\alpha + x^\alpha\bar{e}_\beta$, for $1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq 2n$, extend to a bracket for sections of the vector bundle $E = S^{2n-1} \times I\!R^{2n} \to S^{2n-1}$, according to the anchor ρ.

It can be easily proved that $(E,\rho_E,[\cdot,\cdot]_E)$ is a Lie algebroid.

Consider $n \geq 1$. The skew-symmetric matrix $J_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I_n \\ I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is the same for three structures related to E: an integrable complex structure J on E, a Poisson bisection $\widetilde{J} : E^* \to E$

and the adjoint $J^* : E^* \to E^*$ of J. The image of $\rho \circ \widetilde{J}$ gives a regular foliation of S^{2n-1} of codimension 1. All these can be proved by straightforward verifications.

For $n = 1$, the foliation of the Lie algebroid is trivial (by points) on S^1, since $\rho \circ \widetilde{J}$ is null in this case.

For $n = 2$, the Poisson structure induced by the Poisson bisection $\tilde{J}$ has the matrix

$$A \cdot J_0 \cdot A^t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & yz - tx & x^2 + z^2 - 1 \\ tx - yz & 0 & tz + xy \\ -x^2 - z^2 + 1 & -tz - xy & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - x^2 & -xy & -xz & -xt \\ -xy & 1 - y^2 & -yz & -yt \\ -xz & -yz & 1 - z^2 & -zt \end{pmatrix}$$

The image of $\rho \circ \widetilde{J}$ gives a regular foliation of S^3 of codimension 1. This remains true for any $n \geq 1$: $\rho \circ \widetilde{J}$ gives a regular foliation of S^{2n-1} of codimension 1.

The fact that J is integrable follows by a straightforward computation of the Nijenhuis tensor N_J of J, that shows that $N_J = 0$.

We study now the compatibility condition between J and $\widetilde{J}$, for $n \geq 1$. Consider $1 \leq \alpha, \beta, \gamma \leq n$. Then:

$$\left(\mathcal{L}_{\bar{e}_\alpha} \bar{e}^\beta\right)(\bar{e}_\gamma) = -\bar{e}^\beta\left([\bar{e}_\alpha, \bar{e}_\gamma]\right) = \delta^\beta_\alpha x^\gamma - \delta^\beta_\gamma x^\alpha\,,\ \left(\mathcal{L}_{\bar{e}_\alpha} \bar{e}^\beta\right)(\bar{e}_{\gamma+n}) = -\bar{e}^\beta\left([\bar{e}_\alpha, \bar{e}_{\gamma+n}]\right) = \delta^\beta_\alpha x^{\gamma+n},$$

which implies

$$\mathcal{L}_{\bar{e}_\alpha} \bar{e}^\beta = \delta^\beta_\alpha \sum_{\gamma=1}^{n} \left(x^\gamma \bar{e}^\gamma + x^{\gamma+n} \bar{e}^{\gamma+n}\right) - x^\alpha \bar{e}^\beta.$$

Analogously,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\bar{e}_\alpha} \bar{e}^{\beta+n} = -x^\alpha \bar{e}^{\beta+n}\,,\ \mathcal{L}_{\bar{e}_{\alpha+n}} \bar{e}^\beta = -x^{\alpha+n} \bar{e}^\beta\,,\ \mathcal{L}_{\bar{e}_{\alpha+n}} \bar{e}^{\beta+n} = \delta^\beta_\alpha \sum_{\gamma=1}^{n} \left(x^\gamma \bar{e}^\gamma + x^{\gamma+n} \bar{e}^{\gamma+n}\right) - x^{\alpha+n} \bar{e}^{\beta+n}.$$

We also have

$$\left[\bar{e}^\alpha, \bar{e}^\beta\right]_{\widetilde{J}} = \mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{J}\#\bar{e}^\alpha} \bar{e}^\beta - \mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{J}\#\bar{e}^\beta} \bar{e}^\alpha - d_E\left(\widetilde{J}\left(\bar{e}^\alpha, \bar{e}^\beta\right)\right) = \mathcal{L}_{\bar{e}_{\alpha+n}} \bar{e}^\beta - \mathcal{L}_{\bar{e}_{n+\beta}} \bar{e}^\alpha = -x^{\alpha+n} \bar{e}^\beta + x^{\beta+n} \bar{e}^\alpha.$$

Analogously,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[\bar{e}^\alpha, \bar{e}^{\beta+n}\right]_{\widetilde{J}} &= 2\delta^\beta_\alpha \sum_{\gamma=1}^{n} \left(x^\gamma \bar{e}^\gamma + x^{\gamma+n} \bar{e}^{\gamma+n}\right) - x^{\alpha+n} \bar{e}^{\beta+n} - x^\beta \bar{e}^\alpha, \\
\left[\bar{e}^{\alpha+n}, \bar{e}^\beta\right]_{\widetilde{J}} &= -2\delta^\beta_\alpha \sum_{\gamma=1}^{n} \left(x^\gamma \bar{e}^\gamma + x^{\gamma+n} \bar{e}^{\gamma+n}\right) + x^\alpha \bar{e}^\beta + x^{\beta+n} \bar{e}^{\alpha+n}, \\
\left[\bar{e}^{\alpha+n}, \bar{e}^{\beta+n}\right]_{\widetilde{J}} &= x^\alpha \bar{e}^{\beta+n} - x^\beta \bar{e}^{\alpha+n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the formula

$$[\omega, \theta]^{J^*}_{\widetilde{J}} = [J^*\omega, \theta]_{\widetilde{J}} + [\omega, J^*\theta]_{\widetilde{J}} - J^*\left[\omega, \theta\right]_{\widetilde{J}},$$

we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[\bar{e}^{\alpha},\bar{e}^{\beta+n}\right]_{\widetilde{J}}^{J^*} &= \left[J^*\bar{e}^{\alpha},\bar{e}^{\beta+n}\right]_{\widetilde{J}}+\left[\bar{e}^{\alpha},J^*\bar{e}^{\beta+n}\right]_{\widetilde{J}}-J^*\left[\bar{e}^{\alpha},\bar{e}^{\beta+n}\right]_{\widetilde{J}}\\
&= \left[\bar{e}^{\alpha+n},\bar{e}^{\beta+n}\right]_{\widetilde{J}}-\left[\bar{e}^{\alpha},\bar{e}^{\beta}\right]_{\widetilde{J}}\\
&\quad -J^*\left(2\delta_{\alpha}^{\beta}\sum_{\gamma=1}^{n}\left(x^{\gamma}\bar{e}^{\gamma}+x^{\gamma+n}\bar{e}^{\gamma+n}\right)-x^{\alpha+n}\bar{e}^{\beta+n}-x^{\beta}\bar{e}^{\alpha}\right)\\
&= x^{\alpha}\bar{e}^{\beta+n}-x^{\beta}\bar{e}^{\alpha+n}-\left(-x^{\alpha+n}\bar{e}^{\beta}+x^{\beta+n}\bar{e}^{\alpha}\right)\\
&\quad -x^{\alpha+n}\bar{e}^{\beta}+x^{\beta}\bar{e}^{\alpha+n}-2\delta_{\alpha}^{\beta}\sum_{\gamma=1}^{n}\left(x^{\gamma}\bar{e}^{\gamma+n}-x^{\gamma+n}\bar{e}^{\gamma}\right)\\
&= x^{\alpha}\bar{e}^{\beta+n}-x^{\beta+n}\bar{e}^{\alpha}-2\delta_{\alpha}^{\beta}\sum_{\gamma=1}^{n}\left(x^{\gamma}\bar{e}^{\gamma+n}-x^{\gamma+n}\bar{e}^{\gamma}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

We have that $J\widetilde{J}:E^*\to E$ corresponds to the matrix $J_0^2=-I_{2n}$, thus

$$\left(J\widetilde{J}\right)^{\#}\left(\bar{e}^{\alpha}\right)=-\bar{e}_{\alpha}\text{ and }\left(J\widetilde{J}\right)^{\#}\left(\bar{e}^{\alpha+n}\right)=-\bar{e}_{\alpha+n}.$$

It follows:

$$\left[\bar{e}^{\alpha},\bar{e}^{\beta+n}\right]_{J\widetilde{J}}=\mathcal{L}_{-\bar{e}_{\alpha}}\bar{e}^{\beta+n}-\mathcal{L}_{-\bar{e}_{\beta+n}}\bar{e}^{\alpha}=-\mathcal{L}_{\bar{e}_{\alpha}}\bar{e}^{\beta+n}+\mathcal{L}_{\bar{e}_{\beta+n}}\bar{e}^{\alpha}=x^{\alpha}\bar{e}^{\beta+n}-x^{\beta+n}\bar{e}^{\alpha}.$$

For $n=1$, we have $\left[\bar{e}^1,\bar{e}^2\right]_{\widetilde{J}}^{J^*}=-x^1\bar{e}^2+x^2\bar{e}^1$ and $\left[\bar{e}^1,\bar{e}^2\right]_{J\widetilde{J}}=x^1\bar{e}^2-x^2\bar{e}^1$; thus replacing $\widetilde{J}$ by $-\widetilde{J}$, the compatibility condition can be fulfilled if $n=1$, but it is not the case if $n>1$.

References

[1] D. Alekseevsky, J. Grabowski, G. Marmo, P.W Michor, Poisson structures on the cotangent bundle of a Lie group or a principal bundle and their reductions, *J. Math. Phys.* **35** (1994) 4909-4927.

[2] C. M. Arcuş, Mechanical systems in the generalized Lie algebroids framework, *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.* (2014), DOI: 10.1142/S0219887814500236.

[3] A. Baider, R. C. Churchill, D. L. Rod, Monodromy and non integrability in complex Hamiltonian dynamics, *J. Dyn. Differ. Equ.* **2** (1990) 451-481.

[4] A. Blaga, M. Crasmareanu, C. Ida, Poisson and Hamiltonian structures on complex analytic foliated manifolds, *J. Geom. Phys.* **78** (2014) 19–28.

[5] J. L. Brylinski, G. Zuckerman, The outer derivation of complex Poisson manifolds, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **506** (1999) 181-189.

[6] U. Bruzzo, V. N. Rubtsov, Cohomology of skew-holomorphic Lie algebroids, *Theor. and Math. Phys.* **165**, 3 (2010) 1598–1609.

[7] P. Cabau, F. Pelletier, Almost Lie structures on an anchored Banach bundle, *J. Geom. and Phys.* **62**, 11 (2012) 2147–2169.

[8] C. Chevalley, S. Eilenberg, Cohomology theory of Lie groups and Lie algebras, *T. Am. Math. Soc.* **63** (1948) 85-124.

[9] L. A. Cordero, M. Fernández, R. Ibánez, L. Ugarte, Almost complex Poisson manifolds, *Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom.* **18**, 3-4 (2000) 265-290.

[10] J. Cortès, E. Martinez, Mechanical control systems on Lie algebroids, *IMA J.Math. Control I.* **21**, 4 (2004) 457–492.

[11] R. L. Fernandes, Lie Algebroids, Holonomy and Characteristic Classes, *Adv. Math.*, **170**, 1 (2002) 119–179.

[12] J. Grabowski, P. Urbański, Lie algebroids and Poisson-Nijenhuis structures, *Rep. Math. Phys.* **40**, 2 (1997) 195–208.

[13] G. Gheorghiev, V. Oproiu, *Finite and infinite dimensional smooth manifolds* (in Romanian, Ed. Acad. Române, 1979).

[14] P. J. Higgins, K. Mackenzie, Algebraic constructions in the category of Lie algebroids, *J. Algebra* **129** (1990) 194–230.

[15] J. Ibrahim, A. Lichnerowicz, Fibrés vectoriels holomorphe, structures symplectiques ou modulaires complexes exactes, *CR Math.* **283** (1976) 713–717.

[16] C. Ida, Lichnerowicz-Poisson cohomology and Banach Lie algebroids, *Ann. Funct. Anal.* **2**, 2 (2011) 130–137.

[17] C. Ida, Holomorphic symplectic and Poisson structures on the holomorphic cotangent bundle of a complex Lie group and of a holomorphic principal bundle, *Asian-Eur. J. Math.* **6**, 3 (2013) 18 pp.

[18] C. Ida, P. Popescu, On almost complex Lie algebroids, (submitted), math-dg/1311.2475v3.

[19] D. Iglesias, J. C. Marrero, D. Martín de Diego, E. Martinez, E. Padrón, Reduction of Symplectic Lie Algebroids by a Lie Subalgebroid and a Symmetry Lie Group, *SIGMA* **3** (2007) 049.

[20] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, Poisson Manifolds, Lie Algebroids, Modular Classes: a Survey, *SIGMA* **4** (2008) 005.

[21] C. Laurent-Gengoux, M. Stiénon, P. Xu, Holomorphic Poisson manifolds and holomorphic Lie algebroids, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* (2008) 088.

[22] C. Laurent-Gengoux, M. Stiénon, P. Xu, Integration of holomorphic Lie algebroids. *Math. Ann.* **345** (2009) 895–923.

[23] A. Lichnerowicz, Les variéetés de Poisson et leurs algébres de Lie associées, *J. Diff. Geom.* **12** (1977) 253–300.

[24] A. Lichnerowicz, Variétés de Jacobi et espaces homogènes de contact complexes, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **67**, 9 (1988) 131–173.

[25] K. Mackenzie, *Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids in differential geometry* (Cambridge Univ.Press., London, 1987).

[26] K. Mackenzie, *General theory of Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids* (Cambridge Univ.Press., London, 2005).

[27] C.-M. Marle, Calculus on Lie algebroids, Lie groupoids and Poisson manifolds, *Diss. Math.*, **457** (2008) 57 pp.

[28] E. Martínez, Geometric formulation of mechanics on Lie algebroids, in *Proc. VIII Fall Workshop on Geom. and Phys. (1999, Medina del Campo), Publicaciones de la RSME* **2** (2001) 209–222.

[29] E. Martínez, Lagrangian mechanics on Lie algebroids, *Acta Appl. Math.* **67**, 3 (2001) 295–320.

[30] E. Martínez, Classical field theory on Lie algebroids: variational aspects, *J. Phys. A* **38**, 32 (2005) 7145–7160.

[31] A. Odzijewicz, Hamiltonian and quantum mechanics, in *Lectures on Poisson Geometry*, eds. Tudor Raţiu, Alan Weinstein and Nguyen Tien Zung (Geometry and Topology Monographs 17, 2011) pp. 385–472.

[32] A. Odzijewicz, T. Raţiu, Banach Lie-Poisson spaces and reduction, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **243** (2003) 1–54.

[33] A. Panasyuk, Isomorphisms of some complex Poisson brackets, *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **15** (1997) 313-324.

[34] A. Panasyuk, The Darboux-type theorems for ∂–symplectic and ∂–contact structures, *Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom.* **23** (2003) 265–281.

[35] L. Popescu, A note on Poisson Lie algebroids (I), *Balkan J. Geom. Appl.* **14**, 2 (2009) 79–89.

[36] P. Popescu, M. Popescu, Anchored vector bundles and Lie algebroids, *Banach Cent. Publ.* **54** (2001) 51 – 69.

[37] B. Przybylski, Complex Poisson manifolds, in *Proc. Conf. Differ. Geom. Appl., Opava, Czechoslovakia, 1992,* , eds. O. Kowalski and D. Krupka, (Silesian University, Opava, 1993), pp. 227-241.

[38] M. Stiénon, Holomorphic Koszul-Brylinski Homology, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2011.3** (2011) 553-571.

[39] I. Vaisman, *Lectures on the Geometry of Poisson Manifolds* (Progress in Mathematics, vol. 118, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1994).

[40] I. Vaisman, Hamiltonian structures on foliations, *J. Math. Phys.* **43**, 10 (2002) 4966-4977.

[41] I. Vaisman, Coupling Poisson and Jacobi structures on foliated manifolds, *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.* 1, 5 (2004) 607-637.

[42] I. Vaisman, Poisson structures on foliated manifolds, *Trav. math.* **XVI** (2005) 139-161.

[43] I. Vaisman, Foliated Lie and Courant Algebroids, *Mediterr. J. Math.* **7** (2010) 415–444.

[44] I. Vaisman, Lie and Courant algebroids on foliated manifolds, *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. New Series* **42**, 4 (2011) 805–830.

[45] A. Weinstein, The local structure of Poisson manifolds, J. Diff. Geom. **18**, 3 (1983) 523–557.

[46] A. Weinstein, The integration problem for complex Lie algebroids, in *From Geometry to Quantum Mechanics* (Birkhäuser Boston, 2007), pp. 93–109.

[47] K. Yano, *Differential Geometry on Complex and Almost Complex Spaces* (Int. ser. monogr. math., vol. 10, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1965)

Paul Popescu
Department of Applied Mathematics
University of Craiova
Address: Craiova, 200585, Str. Al. Cuza, No. 13, România
email:*paul_p_popescu@yahoo.com*